

## FlexHD in breast reconstruction – clinical papers:

1. Sobti N, Liao EC. Surgeon-Controlled Study and Meta-Analysis Comparing FlexHD and AlloDerm in Immediate Breast Reconstruction Outcomes. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2016 Nov;138(5):959-967. doi: 10.1097/PRS.0000000000002616. PMID: 27782982.  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27782982/>
2. Liu DZ, Mathes DW, Neligan PC, Said HK, Louie O. Comparison of outcomes using AlloDerm versus FlexHD for implant-based breast reconstruction. *Ann Plast Surg*. 2014 May;72(5):503-7. doi: 10.1097/SAP.0b013e318268a87c. PMID: 23636114.  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23636114/>
3. Brooke S, Mesa J, Uluer M, Michelotti B, Moyer K, Neves RI, Mackay D, Potochny J. Complications in tissue expander breast reconstruction: a comparison of AlloDerm, DermaMatrix, and FlexHD acellular inferior pole dermal slings. *Ann Plast Surg*. 2012 Oct;69(4):347-9. doi: 10.1097/SAP.0b013e31824b3d97. PMID:22868313.  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22868313/>
4. Lee KT, Mun GH. A Meta-analysis of Studies Comparing Outcomes of Diverse Acellular Dermal Matrices for Implant-Based Breast Reconstruction. *Ann Plast Surg*. 2017 Jul;79(1):115-123. doi:10.1097/SAP.0000000000001085. PMID: 28509698.  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28509698/>
5. Paydar KZ, Wirth GA, Mowlds DS. Prepectoral Breast Reconstruction with Fenestrated Acellular Dermal Matrix: A Novel Design. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open*. 2018 Apr 4;6(4):e1712. doi: 10.1097/GOX.0000000000001712. PMID: 29876165; PMCID: PMC5977957.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5977957/>
6. Palaia DA, Arthur KS, Cahan AC, Rosenberg MH. Incidence of Seromas and Infections Using Fenestrated versus Nonfenestrated Acellular Dermal Matrix in Breast Reconstructions. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open*. 2015 Dec 9;3(11):e569. doi: 10.1097/GOX.0000000000000559. PMID: 26893994; PMCID: PMC4727721.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4727721/>

7. Ranganathan K, Santosa KB, Lyons DA, Mand S, Xin M, Kidwell K, Brown DL, Wilkins EG, Momoh AO. Use of Acellular Dermal Matrix in Postmastectomy Breast Reconstruction: Are All Acellular Dermal Matrices Created Equal? *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2015 Oct;136(4):647-53. doi:10.1097/PRS.0000000000001569. PMID: 26397242.  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26397242/>
8. Gfrerer L, Liao EC. Technique Refinement in Prepectoral Implant Breast Reconstruction with Vicryl Mesh Pocket and Acellular Dermal Matrix Support. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open*. 2018 Apr 9;6(4):e1749. doi:10.1097/GOX.0000000000001749. PMID: 29876185; PMCID: PMC5977955.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5977955/>
9. Schnarrs RH, Carman CM, Tobin C, Chase SA, Rossmeier KA. Complication Rates With Human Acellular Dermal Matrices: Retrospective Review of 211 Consecutive Breast Reconstructions. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open*. 2016 Nov 21;4(11):e1118. doi:10.1097/GOX.0000000000001118. PMID: 27975023; PMCID: PMC5142489. .  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5142489/>
10. Cheng A, Saint-Cyr M. Comparison of different ADM materials in breast surgery. *Clin Plast Surg*. 2012 Apr;39(2):167-175. doi:10.1016/j.cps.2012.02.004. PMID: 22482358.  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22482358/>
11. Vu MM, De Oliveira GS Jr, Mayer KE, Blough JT, Kim JY. A Prospective Study Assessing Complication Rates and Patient-Reported Outcomes in Breast Reconstructions Using a Novel, Deep Dermal Human Acellular Dermal Matrix. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open*. 2016 Jan 7;3(12):e585. doi:10.1097/GOX.0000000000000574. PMID: 26894010; PMCID: PMC4727694.  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26894010/>
12. Ibrahim AM, Ayeni OA, Hughes KB, Lee BT, Slavin SA, Lin SJ. Acellular dermal matrices in breast surgery: a comprehensive review. *Ann Plast Surg*. 2013 Jun;70(6):732-8. doi:10.1097/SAP.0b013e31824b3d30. PMID: 23407245.  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23407245/>

13. Wilson HB. New Deep Dermal ADM Incorporates Well in Case Series of Complex Breast Reconstruction Patients. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2015 May;94(21):e745. doi:10.1097/MD.0000000000000745. PMID: 26020380; PMCID: PMC4616406.  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26020380/>
14. Michelotti BF, Brooke S, Mesa J, Wilson MZ, Moyer K, Mackay DR, Neves RI, Potochny J. Analysis of clinically significant seroma formation in breast reconstruction using acellular dermal grafts. *Ann Plast Surg*. 2013 Sep;71(3):274-7. doi: 10.1097/SAP.0b013e3182923dc9. PMID: 23788150.  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23788150/>
15. Kobraei EM, Cauley R, Gadd M, Austen WG Jr, Liao EC. Avoiding Breast Animation Deformity with Pectoralis-Sparing Subcutaneous Direct-to-Implant Breast Reconstruction. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open*. 2016 May 27;4(5):e708. doi: 10.1097/GOX.0000000000000681. PMID: 27579232; PMCID: PMC4995704.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4995704/>
16. Sobti N, Weitzman RE, Nealon KP, Jimenez RB, Gfrerer L, Mattos D, Ehrlichman RJ, Gadd M, Specht M, Austen WG, Liao EC. Evaluation of capsular contracture following immediate prepectoral versus subpectoral direct-to-implant breast reconstruction. *Sci Rep*. 2020 Jan 24;10(1):1137. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-58094-4. PMID: 31980737; PMCID: PMC6981172.  
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6981172/>
17. Seth AK, Persing S, Connor CM, Davila A, Hirsch E, Fine NA, Kim JY. A comparative analysis of cryopreserved versus prehydrated human acellular dermal matrices in tissue expander breast reconstruction. *Ann Plast Surg*. 2013 Jun;70(6):632-5. doi: 10.1097/SAP.0b013e318250f0b4. PMID: 23429218.  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23429218/>
18. Chang, E. I. & Liu, J. Prospective unbiased experience with three acellular dermal matrices in breast reconstruction. *Journal of surgical oncology* 116, 365-370, doi:10.1002/jso.24656 (2017).  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28444764/>

19. Downs, R. K. & Hedges, K. An Alternative Technique for Immediate Direct-to-Implant Breast Reconstruction-A Case Series. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open* 4, e821-e821, doi:10.1097/GOX.0000000000000839 (2016).  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27536500/>
20. Newman, M. I., Swartz, K. A., Samson, M. C., Mahoney, C. B. & Diab, K. The true incidence of near-term postoperative complications in prosthetic breast reconstruction utilizing human acellular dermal matrices: a meta-analysis. *Aesthetic plastic surgery* 35, 100-106, doi:10.1007/s00266-010-9631-6 (2011).  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21184070/>
21. Lanier, S. T. et al. The effect of acellular dermal matrix use on complication rates in tissue expander/implant breast reconstruction. *Annals of plastic surgery* 64, 674-678, doi:10.1097/SAP.0b013e3181dba892 (2010).  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20395795/>
22. Rosenberg, M. H. et al. Breast Reconstruction with or without Human Acellular Dermal Matrices: A Single-Clinic, Review of Esthetic Outcomes and Risk Factors for Complications. *The American Journal of Cosmetic Surgery* 31, 7-17, doi:10.5992/AJCS-D-13-00046.1 (2014).  
<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.5992/AJCS-D-13-00046.1>
23. Buck, D. W., 2nd, Heyer, K., DiBardino, D., Bethke, K. & Kim, J. Y. Acellular dermis-assisted breast reconstruction with the use of crescentic tissue expansion: a functional cosmetic analysis of 40 consecutive patients. *Aesthetic surgery journal* 30, 194-200, doi:10.1177/1090820x10366547 (2010).  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20442096/>
24. Cahan, A. C., Palaia, D. A., Rosenberg, M. & Bonanno, P. C. The aesthetic mastectomy utilizing a non-nipple-sparing portal approach. *Annals of plastic surgery* 66, 424-428, doi:10.1097/SAP.0b013e318214566b (2011).  
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21451374/>

25. Leo, K., Louie, O., Neligan, P., Said, H. & Mathes, D. 216C: THE USE OF ALLODERM VERSUS FLEX HD IN IMPLANT BASED BREAST RECONSTRUCTION. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery* 125, 142, doi:10.1097/01.prs.0000371950.61819.bb (2010).
- [https://journals.lww.com/plasreconsurg/Citation/2010/06002/216C\\_THE\\_USE\\_OF\\_ALLODERM\\_VERSUS\\_FLEX\\_HD\\_IN.218.aspx](https://journals.lww.com/plasreconsurg/Citation/2010/06002/216C_THE_USE_OF_ALLODERM_VERSUS_FLEX_HD_IN.218.aspx)
26. Rawlani, V., Buck, D. W., 2nd, Johnson, S. A., Heyer, K. S. & Kim, J. Y. Tissue expander breast reconstruction using prehydrated human acellular dermis. *Annals of plastic surgery* 66, 593-597, doi:10.1097/SAP.0b013e3181f3ed0a (2011).
- <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21233698/>
27. Rosenberg, M. et al. Immediate Single-Stage Reconstruction of the Breast Utilizing FlexHD and Implant following Skin-Sparing Mastectomy. *The American Journal of Cosmetic Surgery* 28, 145-155, doi:10.1177/074880681102800308 (2011).
- <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/074880681102800308>
28. Seth, A. K., Hirsch, E. M., Fine, N. A. & Kim, J. Y. Utility of acellular dermis-assisted breast reconstruction in the setting of radiation: a comparative analysis. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery* 130, 750-758, doi:10.1097/PRS.0b013e318262f009 (2012).
- <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23018687/>
29. Tan, B. K. Pie crusting of acellular dermal matrix may help decrease incidence of seromas in breast reconstruction. *Journal of plastic, reconstructive & aesthetic surgery : JPRAS* 66, 1629-1630, doi:10.1016/j.bjps.2013.06.013 (2013).
- <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23810606/>
30. Topol, B. M., Dalton, E. F., Ponn, T. & Campbell, C. J. Immediate single-stage breast reconstruction using implants and human acellular dermal tissue matrix with adjustment of the lower pole of the breast to reduce unwanted lift. *Annals of plastic surgery* 61, 494-499, doi:10.1097/SAP.0b013e31816d82d9 (2008).
- <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18948774/>

31. Kim, J. Y. *et al.* A meta-analysis of human acellular dermis and submuscular tissue expander breast reconstruction. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery* **129**, 28-41, doi:10.1097/PRS.0b013e3182361fd6 (2012).

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22186498/>